

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,777	02/20/2002	Shigeki Matsuda	111995	3646
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 01/31/200 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 199	28		WONG, EDNA	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1753 ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/077,777	MATSUDA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 January 2007. A brithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repliaments.	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	smissal of the
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>		•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		•	
13.  Other:	9	- Prolitions	_

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim limitations of "the treatment bath has a pH of less than 2" and "the oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) of said phosphate chemical treatment bath indicated as the potential relative to a standard hydrogen electrode, is maintained at equal to or greater than 770 mV" were not in any of the original or previously presented claims. Thus, these claim limitations would require further consideration and/or search.